

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/685,150	10/14/2003	EikFun Khor	STL11368	4802
David K. Luce	7590 11/13/2007 nte, Seagate Technology L	LC	EXAM	INER
Intellectual Property-COL2LGL			SNIEZEK, ANDREW L	
389 Disc Drive Longmont, CO			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE 2627	
zongom, co				
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

10/685150

APPLICATION NO./	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR /	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
CONTROL NO.		PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	
		•	

EXAMINER

ART UNIT

PAPER

20071106-B

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The following action is taken in view of the amendment filed 9/5/07. The reply filed on 9/5/07 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): Applicant has not provided reasons why the claims as amended define over the references to Chainer et al. Takaishi et al. and Lee as applied. It is noted that the discussed examiners amendment as pointed out in the previous office action (to define over Chainer et al.) was not entered in view of the newly discovered art to Hasagawa et al. that has been applied. Since this examiners amendment was not entered, applicant must make of record why the claims as presently amended define over each of the above noted applied art and examiners remarks concerning this art in the office action mailed 6/6/07. Examiner does note applicants arguments provided with respect to the newly applied reference to Hasagawa et al. See 37 CFR 1.111. Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be bong fide, applicant is given ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).

In response to applicants request for a telephone interview, applicant is requested to contact the examiner if desired to discussed any amendment being made and remaining issues.

> Andrew L. Sniezek **Primary Examiner** Art Unit: 2627